



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/683,660

01/31/2002

Jill Alese Clary

109.0026

1469

27997 7590 11/09/2007

PRIEST & GOLDSTEIN PLLC  
5015 SOUTHPARK DRIVE  
SUITE 230  
DURHAM, NC 27713-7736

EXAMINER

ALI, MOHAMED HATEM

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3692

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/09/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/683,660	CLEARY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohamed H. Ali	3692	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mohamed H. Ali. (3) Kambiz Abdi(SPE).  
 (2) Harish Dass(Primary Examiner). (4) Attorney Peter Priest(Applicant's Rep)).

Date of Interview: 30 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Amended part only.

Identification of prior art discussed: Related to Assignee only.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
 SPE 3692

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

M. Mohamed Ali  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

- 1) Attorney told about common assignee issue with the application.
- 2) PTO informed him that the final rejection on 102(e) is valid with the same prior art having no record of assignee issue before final action. So prosecution is closed. Applicant has the right to appeal the case, file a continuation of examination or abandon the case.
- 3) PTO (SPE) suggested to the attorney to explain his points (remarks) in writing at the earliest to be checked for review by the examiner and further consideration would be made.
- 4) Fax copy from attorney is attached.